

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,898	09/833,898 04/12/2001		Kevin L. Payton	10283.3801	2060
22235	7590	12/23/2003		EXAMINER	
		ND DIMAGGIO, P	WILSON, JOHN J		
1936 S ANI FORT LAU		VENUE E, FL 33316	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•		3732	19
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary			/833,898	PAYTON, KEVIN L.				
			aminer	Art Unit				
			ın J. Wilson	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>12 Noven</u>	<u>nber 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>7-10 and 14</u> is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1,4-6 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or elec	ction requirement.					
	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachmen			·					
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeVincenzo et al (5853291). DeVincenzo (291) shows a subperiosteal system comprising a small thin body 66, that is shown in a substantially flat embodiment in Figs. 14, 15, 18 and 19, having apertures for a bone fastener, column 3, line 36, a rigidly attached wire guide 72, column 7, lines 40-49, and a central member connecting the body to the wire guide as shown, Fig. 19. It would be obvious to use plural fasteners in view of the showing of a plurality of apertures. As to claim 13, see malleable, column 1, line 67.

Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeVincenzo et al (5853291) in view of DeVincenzo (5938437) and Kanomi et al (5921774). DeVincenzo (291) shows a subperiosteal system comprising a small thin body 66, that is shown in a substantially flat embodiment in Figs. 14, 15, 18 and 19, having apertures for a bone fastener, column 3, line 36, wire guide 72, column 7, lines 40-49, and a central member connecting the body to the wire guide as shown, Fig. 19. DeVincenzo (291) does not show a bendable central member. DeVincenzo (437)

Art Unit: 3732

shows a bendable central member 15, column 3, line 1. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify DeVincenzo (291) to include a bendable portion as shown by DeVincenzo (437) in order to adjust the device to fit the individual patient in order to apply the desired forces to the teeth. The claim language limiting the size of the fastener to one that anchors the body "securely" to the bone is very broad in scope and depends on the manner and the bone that the device is used with. In view of this, it is held that the anchors shown by DeVincenzo (291) will securely anchor the body and/or that the general size of the anchors are an obvious matter of choice in the degree of a known parameter to the skilled artisan. The size is further held to not be critical as evidenced by the disclosure of the present application which fails to disclose a size for the anchor which will function as claimed. The above combination does not show a bracket that is intended to be used with a tension band. It is well known in the art to attach tension bands to brackets. Kanomi shows a tension band bracket 25, 34. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include the use of a bracket with tension bands as shown by Kanomi in order to apply the desired forces to the teeth. As to claim 12, DeVincenzo (291) does not show a spring rigidly attached to the appliance for receiving an orthodontic tension band. DeVincenzo (437) shows a prong 3 that is for receiving an elastic thread 10, Fig. 2. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify DeVincenzo (291) to include using the prong as shown by DeVincenzo (437) in order to apply the desired forces to the teeth.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,898

Art Unit: 3732

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeVincenzo (5853291) in view of Kanomi et al (5921774). DeVincenzo (291) shows a subperiosteal system comprising a small thin body 66, that is shown in a substantially flat embodiment in Figs. 14, 15, 18 and 19, having apertures for a bone fastener, column 3, line 36, wire guide 72, column 7, lines 40-49, and a central member connecting the body to the wire guide as shown, Fig. 19. DeVincenzo does not show a bracket that is intended to be used with a tension band. It is well known in the art to attach tension bands to brackets. Kanomi shows a tension band bracket 25, 34. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include the use of a bracket with tension bands as shown by Kanomi in order to apply the desired forces to the teeth.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-10 and 14 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art is a subperiosteal system that works differently from the structure of the present invention. Just as the present invention, the prior art is implanted between the bone and tissue and held by bone fasteners. That the prior art also uses additional structure to encourage overgrowth of bone does not negate the structure that it shows. Applicant has added the language "to

Application/Control Number: 09/833,898

Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

avoid subperiodontal healing time and ossocointegration for immediate use" and "not utilizing subperiodontal ossocointegration". These limitations are directed to intended use, and therefore, applicant's article claims fail to claim any patentable difference in structure, instead, they are directed to the same structure with terminology to imply a different intended use. As such, the actual structure shown is properly met, and the intended use is given no patentable weight. The above combination combines elements that are motivated in view of solving a problem such as a bendable portion or use with brackets and tension bands, all of which would be obvious to use in a method that includes bone integration. Applicant has failed to claim any new or unobvious structure that is specific to and gives life and meaning to the different intended use of not waiting for bone ingrowth or bone overgrowth. Applicant claims fasteners that hold the plate securely, however, there is not structure claimed nor disclosed that differentiates these fasteners from those shown by the prior art. Merely using a known structure in a new manner does not patentable distinguish there over.

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Wilson at telephone number (703) 308-2699.

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

jjw

December 22, 2003 Fax (703) 308-2708

Work Schedule: Monday to Friday - Flex Time